(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P NO 2107 /2001

PETITIONERS:

1. Sri Sitaram Gupta, S/o Sri KP Gupta, aged 45 years R/o Chatterjee Gali, New Surkanda, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

2. Sri R.P Sharma, S/o late Ganesh Prasad Tiwari, Aged about 40 years, R/o Kududant, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3. Sri C L Pandey, S/o Sri K.P Pandey, aged 58 years, R/o B D Mahant Nagar, Janjgir, Champ, Chhattisgarh

4. Sri Kumar Singh Gehlot S/o Aged about 50 years, R/o Near Krishi Upaji Mandi Janjgir, Champa, Chhattisgarh

5. Sri Hanuman Prasad Gauraha, S/o Late Eshwar Prasad Gauraha aged 55 years, R/o Old Surkanda Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Thr: Its Chief Secretary, Secratriate, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2. Director General of Police, Police Headquarters, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3. Addl. Inspector General of Police, Police Headquarter, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

4. Sri N R Lahane, Sub Inspector, Police Station Baghbahar, Through: Superintend of Police Distt. Jashpur Chhattisgarh.

5. Sri Shoukhee Das Mahant, Sub Inspector, Through: Superintdend of Police Distt. Surguja, Chhattisgarh

6. Sri Shiv Shankar Tiwari, Sub Inspector, Through: Superintend of Police, Korea, Chhattisgarh

P.R. No. 2023/01
Presented by Shri V. Deshmukh
dated 7/10/01

1.10.2001

...2

(9)

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Writ Petition U/A 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India Service matter

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

Single Bench (48)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WPNO 2107 /2001 सन् 200

Sitaram Gupta विरुद्ध State of C.G. & Others

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | 08.11.2001<br>Heard Shri P.S.Koshy, learned counsel for the petitioner.<br>Shri Gautam Bhaduri, learned Government Advocate states that this matter should be examined by State Adminis-trative Tribunal.<br>As State Administrative Tribunal is now functioning, in my view, the matter should be decided by the Tribunal.<br>It is stated that the learned Tribunal is not taking up the cases for disposal except registration and accept-ance of petitions. The learned Tribunal shall proceed to decide cases expeditiously.<br>Shri P.S.Koshy persists that this Court should decide the matter. Since State | |

आदेश पत्रक ( पुर्वानुबद्ध )

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | -: 2 :-<br>Administrative Tribunal has jurisdiction over this matter, I see no reason why it should not be examined by the Tribunal. Let the petitioner approach the Tribunal.<br>The petition is rejected.<br>Sd/-<br>Chief Justice | 30/10/0<br>fixed<br>(CS)<br>92 91<br>0/H |

ss/-

GRPRJ—FS/511—1/2001—50,000.